



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DOW Aug-07

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19104-2891

COPY MAILED

AUG 03 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Zia Shlaimoun :
Application Number: 10/519514 : ON PETITION
Filing Date: 09/23/2005 :
Attorney Docket Number: THOM- :
0038 :

This is a decision on the petition under 1.137(b),¹ filed on 30 March, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 24 September, 2006, for failure to timely respond to the non-final Office action mailed on 23 June, 2006, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114.

In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 19 January, 2007.

Petitioners have filed an amendment as the required reply.

The application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2838 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Wood", is positioned above the typed name.

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions